arXiv:math/0109140v1 [math.QA] 20 Sep 2001

Difference L operators related to q-characters

A. Kuniba[*], M. Okado[†], J. Suzuki[‡], Y. Yamada[§]

Abstract

We introduce a factorized difference operator $L(u)$ annihilated by the Frenkel-Reshetikhin screening operator for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$. We identify the coefficients of $L(u)$ with the fundamental q-characters, and establish a number of formulas for their higher analogues. They include Jacobi-Trudi and Weyl type formulas, canceling tableau sums, Casorati determinant solution to the T-system, and so forth. Analogous operators for the orthogonal series $U_q(B_n^{(1)})$ and $U_q(D_n^{(1)})$ are also presented.

1 Introduction

In this paper we introduce a factorized difference operator $L(u)$ related to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$, and present its application to the q-characters of some finite dimensional representations. As for basic facts on finite dimensional representations, we refer to [CP1, CP2] and [Ka].

The theory of q-characters was introduced in [FR2, FM] motivated by their study of deformed $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. See also [Kn]. The q-characters χ_q are Laurent polynomials in infinitely many variables $\{Y_a(u)^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \le a \le n, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$, which reduce to linear combinations of the usual characters with respect to a classical simple subalgebra in the limit $q \to 1$. For an irreducible representation V, $\chi_q(V)$ contains the highest weight monomial with coefficient 1, and the rest is generated by multiplying lowering factors corresponding to the negative roots. One of the fundamental properties of the q-characters is that they enjoy a symmetry analogous to simple reflections in the Weyl group [FR2, FM]. It is represented as $S_a \cdot \chi_q = 0$ for all $1 \le a \le n$, where S_a is called the screening operator.

We construct a difference operator $L(u)$ acting on functions of a variable u with the following features:

- $S_a \cdot L(u) = 0$ for all a.
- $L(u)$ generates all the fundamental q-characters of $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$.

---

[*] Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

[†] Department of Informatics and Mathematical Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka 560-8531, Japan

[‡] Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, Ohya 836, Japan

[§] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kobe University, Hyogo 657-8501, Japan

By fundamental q-characters we mean those for the fundamental representations in the sense of [CP1]. Such an operator of order n was known for $A_n^{(1)}$ in [FR1, FRS]. Curiously, our $L(u)$ for $C_n^{(1)}$ is of order $2n+2$ and has a form similar to the $A_{2n+1}^{(1)}$ case, which contrasts with the well known embedding $C_n \hookrightarrow A_{2n-1}$. It contains each fundamental q-character twice and admits a factorization into $2n$ product of first order difference operators and a second order one. The last piece is further factorized if one extends the coefficient field $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{Z}[Y_a(u)^{\pm 1}]_{1 \le a \le n, u \in \mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}[Q_a(u)^{\pm 1}]_{1 \le a \le n, u \in \mathbb{C}}$ by introducing the Baxter Q functions as (2.1). Such an identification is based on the connection [FR2] between q-characters and the analytic Bethe ansatz [R, KS, KOS] for solvable lattice models [B].

To utilize the ideas in the latter is another motivation of the paper. Roughly, χ_q corresponds to an eigenvalue formula for transfer matrices, and the condition $\chi_q \in \cap_a \mathrm{Ker} S_a$ to its pole-freeness. Our approach here is based on the difference equation $L(u)w(u) = 0$, and involves only elementary linear algebra and a portion of combinatorics. By means of a difference analogue of the Wronskian method for ordinary linear differential equations, we express the fundamental q-characters and their higher analogues in terms of a ratio of Casorati determinants. They may be viewed as analogues of the Weyl formula for usual characters. By a standard argument [NNSY], the ratio of the Casorati determinants is equal to a sum over semistandard tableaux on letters $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n+2\}$. Until this point, parallel results are derivable also for the $A_{2n+1}^{(1)}$ case. A curiosity for $C_n^{(1)}$ case is that one of the tableau variable has a minus sign. (See (2.5).) Nevertheless all the negative contributions cancel out for many examples including fundamental q-characters as exhibited in Appendix A. It will be interesting to seek applications of the present results in the light of the works [BHK, CK, DDT, FRS, KLWZ, M2, SS, S1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define $L(u)$ and derive various formulas for the fundamental q-characters. In Section 3 we extend the results in the preceding section slightly to a class generated by $L(u)$. This is partly motivated by a similar structure observed for the Stokes multipliers [DDT, S1, S2]. In Section 4, we present a solution of the $C_n^{(1)}$ T-system [KNS] in terms of the Casorati determinants. An analogous result for $A_n^{(1)}$ is available in [KLWZ]. In Section 5 we give similar difference operators $L(u)$ for $B_n^{(1)}$ and $D_n^{(1)}$, which originate in [KOS, TK]. However, their orders are not finite as opposed to the $C_n^{(1)}$ case. Appendix A contains a combinatorial proof of Proposition 2.4. Appendix B provides some basic lemmas connecting the Casorati determinants, tableau sums and Jacobi-Trudi type formulas.

2 $L(u)$ and fundamental q-characters

Let $\{\alpha_a \mid 1 \le a \le n\}$ and $\{\Lambda_a \mid 1 \le a \le n\}$ be the sets of simple roots and fundamental weights of C_n normalized as $(\alpha_a|\alpha_a) = 1 + \delta_{an}$. Throughout the paper we set

$$N = 2n + 2.$$

We denote the Frenkel-Reshetikhin variable Y_{a,q^u} [FR2] for $U_q(C_n^{(1)})$ by $Y_a(u)$ ($1 \leq a \leq n$), and introduce the Baxter Q functions $Q_a(u)$ related to $Y_a(u)$ as

$$Y_a(u) = \frac{Q_a(u - \frac{(\alpha_a|\alpha_a)}{2})}{Q_a(u + \frac{(\alpha_a|\alpha_a)}{2})}. \tag{2.1}$$

For the definition of the q-character we refer to the original paper [FR2]. Here we recall the screening operator S_a. S_a sends the elements in $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{Z}[Y_a(u)^{\pm 1}]_{1 \leq a \leq n, u \in \mathbb{C}}$ to the ring extended by adjoining the extra symbols $\{S_a(u) \mid 1 \leq a \leq n, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$. The action is given by

$$S_a \cdot Y_b(u) = \delta_{ab} Y_b(u) S_b(u)$$

and the Leibniz rule. Thus for example $S_a \cdot Y_b(u)^{-1} = -\delta_{ab} Y_b(u)^{-1} S_b(u)$. The symbol $S_a(u)$ is assumed to obey the following relation in the extended ring:

$$\begin{aligned} S_a\big(u + (\alpha_a|\alpha_a)\big) &= A_a\left(u + \frac{(\alpha_a|\alpha_a)}{2}\right) S_a(u), \\ A_a(u) &= \prod_{b=1}^{n} \frac{Q_b(u - (\alpha_a|\alpha_b))}{Q_b(u + (\alpha_a|\alpha_b))}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $A_a(u)$ can actually be expressed in terms of the Y-variables only.

Let

$$J = \{1 \prec 2 \prec \cdots \prec n \prec \bar{n} \prec \cdots \prec \bar{2} \prec \bar{1}\}$$

be an ordered set. In what follows we shall work with the two sets of variables $\{z_a(u) \mid a \in J\}$ and $\{x_a(u) \mid 1 \leq a \leq N\}$ specified by

$$z_a(u) = \frac{Y_a(u + \frac{a}{2})}{Y_{a-1}(u + \frac{a+1}{2})}, \quad z_{\bar{a}}(u) = \frac{Y_{a-1}(u + \frac{2n-a+3}{2})}{Y_a(u + \frac{2n-a+4}{2})} \quad 1 \leq a \leq n, \tag{2.3}$$

$$x_a(u) = z_a(u), \quad x_{2n+3-a}(u) = z_{\bar{a}}(u) \quad 1 \leq a \leq n, \tag{2.4}$$

$$x_{n+1}(u) = -x_{n+2}(u) = \frac{Q_n(u + \frac{n}{2}) Q_n(u + \frac{n+4}{2})}{Q_n(u + \frac{n+2}{2})^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $Y_0(u) = 1$. Note here that unlike (2.3) and (2.4), $x_{n+1}(u) = -x_{n+2}(u)$ is *not* expressible as a ratio of Y_n's.

In this paper, we denote the q-character $\chi_q(V_{\Lambda_a}(q^u))$ of the fundamental representation $V_{\Lambda_a}(q^u)$ by $T_1^{(a)}(u)$ ($1 \leq a \leq n$). In terms of the variables (2.3), it is given by

$$T_1^{(a)}(u + \frac{1}{2}) = \sum z_{i_1}(u + \frac{a-1}{2}) z_{i_2}(u + \frac{a-3}{2}) \cdots z_{i_a}(u - \frac{a-1}{2}), \tag{2.6}$$

where the sum runs over $i_1, \dots, i_a \in J$ such that

$$i_1 \prec \cdots \prec i_a, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\text{if } i_k = c,\ i_l = \bar{c} \text{ for some } 1 \le c \le n,\ \text{then } n + k - l \ge c. \tag{2.8}$$

Upon a suitable convention adjustment, this agrees with $s_a(zq^{-1/2})$ in section 11.3 of [FR1]. It also coincides with $\Lambda^{(a)}_1(u+1/2)$ in [KS], where the condition (2.7)–(2.8) was first introduced under which the number of summands is indeed equal to the dimension $\binom{2n}{a} - \binom{2n}{a-2}$ of the a th fundamental representation of C_n. We have $T^{(a)}_1(u) = Y_a(u) + \cdots$, where $Y_a(u)$ is the highest weight monomial [FM].

Example 2.1. For $n = 2$, the two fundamental q-characters read

$$T^{(1)}_1(u) = Y_1(u) + \frac{Y_2(u+\frac{1}{2})}{Y_1(u+1)} + \frac{Y_1(u+2)}{Y_2(u+\frac{5}{2})} + \frac{1}{Y_1(u+3)},$$

$$T^{(2)}_1(u) = Y_2(u) + \frac{Y_1(u+\frac{1}{2})Y_1(u+\frac{3}{2})}{Y_2(u+2)} + \frac{Y_1(u+\frac{1}{2})}{Y_1(u+\frac{5}{2})} + \frac{Y_2(u+1)}{Y_1(u+\frac{3}{2})Y_1(u+\frac{5}{2})} + \frac{1}{Y_2(u+3)}.$$

Let D be a difference operator $Dg(u) = g(u+1)D$. We use the notation $\overrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^{k}} X_i = X_1X_2\cdots X_k$, $\overleftarrow{\prod_{i=1}^{k}} X_i = X_k \cdots X_2X_1$. By a direct calculation one finds

Lemma 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (1 - z_{\bar a}(u)D) \cdot (1 - z_{\bar n}(u)z_n(u+1)D^2)\cdot \overleftarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (1 - z_a(u)D) \\
=&\overrightarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (z_a(u+n+1-a) - D) \cdot (z_{\bar n}(u-1)z_n(u) - D^2)\cdot \overleftarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (z_{\bar a}(u-n-2+a) - D) \\
=&\overleftarrow{\prod_{i=1}^{N}} (1 - \epsilon_i x_i(u)D) = -\overrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^{N}} (\epsilon_i x_i(u+n+1-i) - D),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_i = 1$ *for* $i \neq n+1, n+2$ *and* $\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_{n+2} = \pm 1$.

In particular the middle quadratic factor can be factorized as

$$D^2 - z_{\bar n}(u-1)z_n(u) = D^2 - x_{n+1}(u-1)x_{n+1}(u) = (x_{n+1}(u) \pm D)(x_{n+2}(u-1) \pm D).$$

We define the L operator by

$$L(u) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{i=1}^{N}} (x_i(u+n+1-i) - D) = -\overleftarrow{\prod_{i=1}^{N}} (1 - x_i(u)D). \tag{2.9}$$

Due to Lemma 2.2, $L(u)$ is a polynomial in D of order N with coefficients in $\mathfrak{Y}$. For a difference operator of the form $\sum_j c_j(u)D^j$ with $c_j(u) \in \mathfrak{Y}$, the screening operator acts as

$$S_a \cdot \Bigl(\sum_j c_j(u)D^j\Bigr) = \sum_j \bigl(S_a \cdot c_j(u)\bigr)D^j.$$

Proposition 2.3.

$$L(u)Q_1(u) = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$S_a \cdot L(u) = 0 \quad 1 \le a \le n. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. The rightmost factor in the first expression of (2.9) reads $(Q_1(u+1)/Q_1(u) - D)$, proving (2.10). As for (2.11), we illustrate the $a = n$ case. By the definition, S_n acts non-trivially only on the middle three factors in (2.9), which is expanded as ($v = u + \frac{n}{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{Y_{n-1}(v-\frac{1}{2})}{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{3}{2})} - \left(\frac{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{1}{2})}{Y_n(v+2)} + \frac{Y_n(v)}{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{3}{2})}\right) D \\
&+ \left(\frac{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{5}{2})}{Y_n(v+3)} + \frac{Y_n(v+1)}{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{3}{2})}\right) D^3 + D^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Upon applying S_a, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(S_n(v+2)\frac{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{1}{2})}{Y_n(v+2)} - S_n(v)\frac{Y_n(v)}{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{3}{2})}\right) D \\
&+ \left(-S_n(v+3)\frac{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{5}{2})}{Y_n(v+3)} + S_n(v+1)\frac{Y_n(v+1)}{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{3}{2})}\right) D^3.
\end{aligned}$$

This vanishes under (2.2), i.e.,

$$S_n(v+2) = \frac{Y_n(v)Y_n(v+2)}{Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{3}{2})Y_{n-1}(v+\frac{1}{2})} S_n(v).$$

∎

Let us introduce the notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_u(i_1, \ldots, i_a) = \prod_{k=1}^{a} x_{i_k}(u+1-k), \quad Z_u(i_1, \ldots, i_a) = \prod_{k=1}^{a} z_{i_k}(u+1-k), \\
&\sum_i X_u(i_1, \ldots, i_a) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_a \le N} X_u(i_1, \ldots, i_a), \\
&\sum_i Z_u(i_1, \ldots, i_a) = \sum_{1 \preceq i_1 \prec \cdots \prec i_a \preceq \bar{1}} Z_u(i_1, \ldots, i_a).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Expanding (2.9) we get the two expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} L(u) &= \sum_{a=0}^{N}(-1)^a \left(\sum_i X_{u+n}(i_1,\dots,i_{N-a})\right) D^a, \\ &= -\sum_{a=0}^{N}(-1)^a \left(\sum_i X_{u+a-1}(i_1,\dots,i_a)\right) D^a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find

$$L(u) = \sum_{i=n+2}^{N}(-1)^i e_{N-i}(u+\frac{i}{2})D^i - \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i e_i(u+\frac{i}{2})D^i, \tag{2.13}$$

$$e_a(u+\frac{a}{2}) = -e_{N-a}(u+\frac{a}{2}) \quad 0 \le a \le N, \tag{2.14}$$

$$e_a(u+\frac{a}{2}) := \sum_i X_{u+a-1}(i_1,\dots,i_a). \tag{2.15}$$

In particular (2.14) tells $e_{n+1}(u) = 0, e_N(u) = -1$. In view of (2.5), the sum (2.15) contains sign factors. However, they all cancel out leaving the "positive" contributions only.

Proposition 2.4 (Canceling tableau sums for fundamental q-characters). *We have $T^{(a)}_1(u) = e_a(u)$, namely,*

$$T^{(a)}_1(u) = \sum_i X_{u+\frac{a}{2}-1}(i_1,\dots,i_a) \quad 1 \le a \le n. \tag{2.16}$$

The proof is available in Appendix A, where we show that the cancellation in (2.15) precisely leaves the sum in (2.6)–(2.8). From Proposition 2.4 and (2.13), one has

Theorem 2.5.

$$L(u) = \sum_{i=n+2}^{N}(-1)^i T^{(N-i)}_1(u+\frac{i}{2})D^i - \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i T^{(i)}_1(u+\frac{i}{2})D^i.$$

From Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.5 we conclude $S_a \cdot T^{(b)}_1(u) = 0$ for all $1 \le a, b \le n$.

For later convenience, we extend $T^{(a)}_1(u)$ to $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$T^{(a)}_1(u) + T^{(N-a)}_1(u) = 0 \quad \forall a, \tag{2.17}$$

$$T^{(a)}_1(u) = 0 \quad \text{for } a < 0, \qquad T^{(0)}_1(u) = 1.$$

Then Theorem 2.5 is rephrased as

$$L(u) = -\sum_{i=0}^{N}(-1)^i T^{(i)}_1(u+\frac{i}{2})D^i. \tag{2.18}$$

Now we turn to the linear difference equation

$$L(u)w(u) = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

Let $w_1(u), \dots, w_N(u)$ be a basis of the solutions of (2.19). For $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(m \le N)$, we prepare a shorthand for the Casorati determinant:

$$[i_1, \dots, i_m] = \det \begin{pmatrix} w_1(u+i_1) & \cdots & w_1(u+i_m) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ w_m(u+i_1) & \cdots & w_m(u+i_m) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.20}$$

We will write $[0, \dots, 3]$ to mean the consecutive filling $[0, 1, 2, 3]$ for example. Note that u-dependence is suppressed in LHS and the overall shift $i_r \to i_r + 1$ is equivalent to $u \to u + 1$. From (2.19) it follows that

$$[0, \dots, N-1] = -[1, \dots, N]. \tag{2.21}$$

Proposition 2.6 (Weyl type formula for fundamental q-characters).

$$T_1^{(a)}(u + \frac{a}{2}) = \frac{[0, \dots, a-1, a+1, \dots, N]}{[1, 2, \dots, N]} \quad 0 \le a \le N.$$

Proof. Solve the simultaneous equation obtained by setting $w = w_1, \dots, w_N$ in (2.19), i.e.,

$$w(u+N) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (-1)^i T_1^{(i)}(u + \frac{i}{2}) w(u+i) \tag{2.22}$$

with respect to the coefficients $T_1^{(i)}(u + \frac{i}{2})$. ▮

Proposition 2.6 is also shown by applying Proposition B.3 to Proposition 2.4. For the choice $w(u) = Q_1(u)$ (see (2.10)), (2.22) is often called the "$T - Q$ relation".

Before closing the section, we make a few miscellaneous remarks on $L(u)^{-1}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ define

$$T_m^{(1)}(u + \frac{1}{2}) = \sum z_{i_1}(u - \frac{m-1}{2}) z_{i_2}(u - \frac{m-3}{2}) \cdots z_{i_m}(u + \frac{m-1}{2}),$$

where the sum runs over $i_1, \dots, i_m \in J$ such that

$$i_k \preceq i_{k+1} \ \text{ or } \ (i_k, i_{k+1}) = (\bar{n}, n) \ \text{ for } 1 \le k \le m-1. \tag{2.23}$$

We put $T_1^{(0)}(u) = T_0^{(a)}(u) = 1$. From the first expression in Lemma 2.2, we find

$$-L(u)^{-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m^{(1)}(u + \frac{m}{2}) D^m, \tag{2.24}$$

hence $S_a \cdot T^{(1)}_m(u) = 0$.

The horizontal tableaux obeying the condition (2.23) have appeared in eq. (3.15a) of [KS]. We suppose that the quantity $T^{(1)}_m(u)$ is the irreducible q-character with highest weight monomial $\prod_{j=1}^m Y_1\bigl(u + \frac{m+1-2j}{2}\bigr)$. Multiplying (2.18) and (2.24) we deduce two types of "$T - T$ relations":

$$\sum_{a=0}^{N}(-1)^a T^{(1)}_{m-a}(u - \frac{a}{2})T^{(a)}_1(u + \frac{m-a}{2}) = \delta_{m0},$$

$$\sum_{a=0}^{N}(-1)^a T^{(1)}_{m-a}(u + \frac{m+a}{2})T^{(a)}_1(u + \frac{a}{2}) = \delta_{m0}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As is well known for A_n case, the $T - Q$ relation (2.10), namely,

$$\sum_{a=0}^{N}(-1)^a Q_1(u + a)T^{(a)}_1(u + \frac{a}{2}) = 0$$

is obtained from the limit $m \to \infty$ in either $T - T$ relations with a formal identification

$$Q_1(u) = \lim_{m\to\infty} T^{(1)}_m(u \mp \frac{m}{2}).$$

The $T-T$ relations are also obtainable by expanding the determinant expression for $T^{(1)}_m(u)$ in Remark 4.4 with respect to the first row or m th column.

3 Higher q-characters generated by $L(u)$

Evaluation of the ratio $\frac{[0,i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{N-1}]}{[0,1,2,\cdots,N-1]}$ of the Casorati determinants has been done in Appendix B. Especially, Proposition B.3 is an essential result saying that it is a polynomial in the fundamental q-characters $\{T^{(a)}_1(u) \mid 1 \leq a \leq n, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$. To clarify its q-character content (irreducibility, decomposition into classical characters, etc.) for general $i_1, \ldots, i_{N-1}$ is left to a future study. See Remark 3.4. In this section we concentrate on a modest class generated by a repeated application of (2.22). The resulting relation of the form

$$w(u + k) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}(-1)^i H^{(i)}_k(u + \frac{i}{2})w(u + i) \quad k \geq 0 \tag{3.1}$$

uniquely determines the coefficients. In other words, we define $H^{(i)}_k(u)$ $(0 \leq i \leq N-1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, u \in \mathbb{C})$ via the recursion relation and the initial condition:

$$\begin{aligned} &H^{(i)}_{k+1}(u + \frac{i}{2}) = -T^{(i)}_1(u + \frac{i}{2})H^{(N-1)}_k(u + \frac{N+1}{2}) - H^{(i-1)}_k(u + \frac{i+1}{2}), \\ &H^{(i)}_0(u) = \delta_{i0}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where in the former we understand that $H_k^{(-1)}(u) = 0$. By the definition $H_k^{(i)}(u) = (-1)^i \delta_{ik}$ for $0 \le k \le N-1$ and $H_N^{(i)}(u) = T_1^{(i)}(u)$. The following shows that the class $H_k^{(i)}(u)$ is relevant to Young diagrams of hook shape.

Proposition 3.1 (Jacobi-Trudi and Weyl type formula).

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_k^{(i)}(u + \frac{i}{2}) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{ik}(-1)^i & 0 \le k \le N-1 \\ -\det_{1 \le j,l \le k-N+1} \left(T_1^{(\lambda'_j - j + l)} \left(u + \frac{N-2-\lambda'_j + j + l}{2} \right) \right) & k \ge N \end{cases} \\
&= -\frac{[0, 1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, i+2, \ldots, N-1, k]}{[0, \ldots, N-1]},
\end{aligned}
$$

where λ'_j *is specified from* i *and* N *by* $\lambda'_j = 1 + (N-i-1)\delta_{j1}$.

Proof. Due to (2.17), the determinant satisfies the same recursion as (3.2), proving the first expression. As for the second one, solve the simultaneous equation obtained by taking $w = w_1, \ldots, w_N$ in (3.1). It can also be derived by rewriting the first one by using Proposition B.3. ▮

Regarding $\lambda' = (\lambda'_j)$ as the transpose of the Young diagram λ (cf. [M1]), we see that the latter is of hook shape with width $k - N + 1$ and depth $N - i$.

Let us rewrite (2.22) and (3.1) into matrix forms. We introduce the N-dimensional vectors and square matrices

$$
\vec{w}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} w(u) \\ w(u+1) \\ \vdots \\ w(u+N-1) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \vec{h}_k(u) = \begin{pmatrix} H_k^{(0)}(u) \\ -H_k^{(1)}(u + \frac{1}{2}) \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{N-1} H_k^{(N-1)}(u + \frac{N-1}{2}) \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathcal{T}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & T_1^{(0)}(u) \\ 1 & 0 & & 0 & -T_1^{(1)}(u + \frac{1}{2}) \\ 0 & 1 & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & 1 & 0 & (-1)^{N-2} T_1^{(N-2)}(u + \frac{N-2}{2}) \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & (-1)^{N-1} T_1^{(N-1)}(u + \frac{N-1}{2}) \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_k(u) = \left(\vec{h}_k(u), \vec{h}_{k+1}(u), \ldots, \vec{h}_{k+N-1}(u) \right).
$$

Then (2.22) and (3.1) lead to

$$
{}^t\vec{w}(u+1) = {}^t\vec{w}(u)\mathcal{T}(u), \qquad {}^t\vec{w}(u+k) = {}^t\vec{w}(u)\mathcal{H}_k(u).
$$

Therefore we have a product formula

$$
\mathcal{T}(u)\mathcal{T}(u+1)\cdots\mathcal{T}(u+k-1) = \mathcal{H}_k(u).
$$

See [DDT, S1, S2] for a similar structure observed for the Stokes multipliers in $A^{(1)}_n$ case. We set

$$\sigma_j = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \le j \le n \\ -1 & n+1 \le j \le N-1. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Conjecture 3.2. *For $k \ge N+1$, the quantity $\sigma_i H^{(i)}_k(u + \frac{i}{2})$ $(0 \le i \le N-1)$ is the irreducible q-character with the highest weight monomial ($Y_0(u) = 1$)*

$$Y_n(u + \frac{n+2}{2}) \prod_{j=2}^{k-N} Y_1\big(u + \frac{N+2j-1}{2}\big) \qquad \textit{if} \quad i = n+1,$$

$$Y_{\min(i,N-i)}(u + \frac{i}{2}) \prod_{j=1}^{k-N} Y_1\big(u + \frac{N+2j-1}{2}\big) \qquad \textit{otherwise.}$$

Let us turn to the C_n content of $H^{(i)}_k$. Let $(\alpha|\gamma)$ be the Young diagram of hook shape with width $\alpha+1$ and depth $\gamma+1$ (Frobenius notation [M1]). The corresponding C_n character with highest weight $\alpha\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_{\gamma+1}$ is represented by $\chi_{(\alpha|\gamma)}$. Especially, we shall denote the character of the trivial representation by $\chi_{(-1|0)} = 1$ rather than by $\chi_{(0|-1)}$. Recall the homomorphism [FR2]

$$\beta : \ \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{Z}[Y_a(u)^{\pm 1}]_{1 \le a \le n, u \in \mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{Z}[e^{\pm \Lambda_a}]_{1 \le a \le n}$$

sending $Y_a(u)^{\pm 1}$ to $e^{\pm \Lambda_a}$. For $1 \le i \le N-1$ we know

$$\beta\big(\sigma_i T^{(i)}_1(u)\big) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = n+1 \\ \chi_{(0|\min(i,N-i)-1)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.3. *For $k \ge N+1$, the image of $H^{(i)}_k(u)$ $(0 \le i \le N-1)$ under β is given as*

$$\beta\big(H^{(0)}_k\big) = -\beta\big(H^{(N-1)}_{k-1}\big) = \sum^{\wedge} \chi_{(k-N-2j-1|0)},$$

$$\beta\big(H^{(a)}_k\big) = \begin{cases} \sum^{\vee} \chi_{(k-N-2j|a-1)} + \sum^{\vee} \chi_{(k-N-2j-1|a)} & 1 \le a \le n-1 \\ -\sum^{\vee} \chi_{(k-N-2j|N-a-1)} - \sum^{\vee} \chi_{(k-N-2j-1|N-a-2)} & n+2 \le a \le N-2, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta\big(H^{(n)}_{k-1}\big) = -\beta\big(H^{(n+1)}_k\big) = \sum^{\vee} \chi_{(k-N-2j-1|n-1)},$$

where we have suppressed u on LHS since the result is independent of it. The sum $\sum^{\wedge} \chi(\alpha - 2j|\gamma)$ (resp. $\sum^{\vee} \chi(\alpha - 2j|\gamma)$) extends over $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ such that $\alpha - 2j \ge \min(0, \gamma - 1)$ (resp. $\alpha - 2j \ge 0$).

Proof. Check the relation (3.2) under β by means of $(1 \le a \le n,\ p \ge 0)$

$$\chi_{(p-1|0)}\chi_{(0|a-1)} = \chi_{(p|a-1)} + \chi_{(p-1|a)} + \chi_{(p-1|a-2)} + \chi_{(p-2|a-1)},$$

where $\chi_{(p|a)} = 0$ for any p if $a \le -1$ or $a = n$. ▮

Extending β on $\mathfrak{Y}$, we introduce a map β' which is applicable also to the solutions $w_i(u)$ of (2.19) as follows. We parameterize the fundamental weight Λ_a in terms of the orthogonal basis $\varepsilon_b\,(1 \leq b \leq n)$ as $\Lambda_a = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_a$. The C_n Weyl group acts as a permutation or $\times(\pm 1)$ on $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ as is well known. For $1 \leq i \leq N$, introduce the variable x_i by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i = n+1 \\ -1 & i = n+2 \\ e^{\sigma_i \varepsilon_{\min(i,N+1-i)}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We take β' to be the same as β on $\mathfrak{Y}$, and

$$\beta' :\ x_i(u) \mapsto x_i, \quad w_i(u) \mapsto x^u_{N+1-i} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

The former ($i \neq n+1, n+2$) follows from (2.3)–(2.4) by applying β. The latter has been adjusted to (B.3). As a result we get

$$\beta' :\ \frac{[0, i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{N-1}]}{[0, 1, 2, \cdots, N-1]} \mapsto \frac{\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}\left(x_j^{i_{k-1}}\right)}{\det_{1 \leq j,k \leq N}\left(x_j^{k-1}\right)},$$

where $i_0 = 0$. This is a Weyl group invariant Laurent polynomial in $e^{\Lambda_1}, \ldots, e^{\Lambda_n}$, hence a linear combination of C_n characters. Under β', Proposition 3.1 yields Jacobi-Trudi and Weyl type formulas (but A_{2n+1}-like rather than C_n) for the linear combinations of C_n characters associated to the hook diagrams.

Remark 3.4. For any $i_1, \ldots, i_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has $\frac{[0,i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{N-1}]}{[0,1,2,\cdots,N-1]} \in \mathfrak{Y}$. However the coefficients of the monomials in $\mathfrak{Y}$ are not always all positive or negative. For example for C_2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[0,1,3,4,6,7]}{[0,1,2,3,4,5]} &= T^{(1)}_1(u+\frac{3}{2})T^{(1)}_1(u+\frac{5}{2}) - T^{(2)}_1(u+1)T^{(2)}_1(u+3) \\ &= \frac{Y_1(u+\frac{7}{2})}{Y_1(u+\frac{11}{2})} - Y_2(u+2)Y_2(u+4) + Y_2(u+3) - \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

which consists of 19 monomials.

4 Solution of the T-system

The T-system is a set of functional relations among a certain family $\{T^{(a)}_m(u) \mid 1 \leq a \leq n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$ of commuting transfer matrices in solvable lattice models proposed in [KNS] for any $U_q(X^{(1)}_n)$. It has a form of the Toda field equation on a discrete space-time:

$$T^{(a)}_m\left(u - \frac{(\alpha_a|\alpha_a)}{2}\right)T^{(a)}_m\left(u + \frac{(\alpha_a|\alpha_a)}{2}\right) = T^{(a)}_{m+1}(u)T^{(a)}_{m-1}(u) + S^{(a)}_m(u),$$

where $m \geq 1$, $T_0^{(a)}(u) = 1$ and $S_m^{(a)}(u)$ is a certain product of $T_m^{(a)}(u)$'s. α_a $(1 \leq a \leq n)$ denotes the simple root of X_n. The T-system uniquely determines $T_m^{(a)}(u)$ as a rational function of the fundamental ones $\{T_1^{(a)}(u) \mid 1 \leq a \leq n, u \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Moreover it has been proved for non-exceptional algebras [KNH] that $T_m^{(a)}(u)$ is actually a polynomial in these variables expressed as a determinant or pfaffian.

Let $W_m^{(a)}(u)$ denote the Kirillov-Reshetikhin module over $U_q(X_n^{(1)})$ [KR]. By this we mean the irreducible one whose q-character has the highest weight monomial $\prod_{j=1}^m Y_a\big(u + \frac{(\alpha_a|\alpha_a)}{2}(m+1-2j)\big)$. In the light of the correspondence between the transfer matrices and q-characters (cf. section 6.1 in [FR2]), it is natural to make

Conjecture 4.1. *The identification* $T_m^{(a)}(u) = \chi_q(W_m^{(a)}(u))$ *solves the* T*-system.*

Motivated by these aspects, we here present the solution of the $C_n^{(1)}$ T-system in terms of the Casorati determinants (2.20), which may be viewed as a Weyl type formula for q-characters of the Kirillov-Reshetikhin module. The T-system is explicitly given by

$$T_m^{(a)}(u-\frac{1}{2})T_m^{(a)}(u+\frac{1}{2}) = T_{m+1}^{(a)}(u)T_{m-1}^{(a)}(u) + T_m^{(a-1)}(u)T_m^{(a+1)}(u) \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$T_{2m}^{(n-1)}(u-\frac{1}{2})T_{2m}^{(n-1)}(u+\frac{1}{2}) = T_{2m+1}^{(n-1)}(u)T_{2m-1}^{(n-1)}(u) + T_{2m}^{(n-2)}(u)T_m^{(n)}(u-\frac{1}{2})T_m^{(n)}(u+\frac{1}{2}), \tag{4.2}$$

$$T_{2m+1}^{(n-1)}(u-\frac{1}{2})T_{2m+1}^{(n-1)}(u+\frac{1}{2}) = T_{2m+2}^{(n-1)}(u)T_{2m}^{(n-1)}(u) + T_{2m+1}^{(n-2)}(u)T_m^{(n)}(u)T_{m+1}^{(n)}(u), \tag{4.3}$$

$$T_m^{(n)}(u-1)T_m^{(n)}(u+1) = T_{m+1}^{(n)}(u)T_{m-1}^{(n)}(u) + T_{2m}^{(n-1)}(u). \tag{4.4}$$

where $T_0^{(a)}(u) = T_m^{(0)}(u) = 1$.

Set

$$\xi_m^{(a)}(u) = [0, 1, \cdots, a-1, a+m, a+m+1, \cdots, N+m-1],$$
$$\xi(u) = \xi_0^{(1)}(u) = [0, 1, \cdots, N-1].$$

Lemma 4.2. $\xi_m^{(a)}(u)$ *satisfies the relations:*

$$\xi_m^{(a)}(u)\xi_m^{(a)}(u+1) - \xi_{m+1}^{(a)}(u)\xi_{m-1}^{(a)}(u+1) - \xi_m^{(a+1)}(u)\xi_m^{(a-1)}(u+1) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\xi_m^{(a)}(u) = (-1)^{a-\frac{N}{2}+m}\xi_m^{(N-a)}(u+a-\frac{N}{2}). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. (4.5) is a Plücker relation. (4.6) is shown by applying (2.17) to the latter formula in Proposition B.3. ▮

From $a = N/2$ case of (4.6), it follows that

$$\xi^{(n+1)}_m(u) = 0 \quad m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} + 1.$$

Consequently, one has the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{(n)}_{2m}(u)\xi^{(n+2)}_{2m}(u-1) &= \xi^{(n+1)}_{2m}(u)\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m}(u-1), \\ \xi^{(n)}_{2m+1}(u)\xi^{(n+2)}_{2m+1}(u-1) &= -\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m}(u)\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m+2}(u-1), \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

since LHS $-$ RHS become $-\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m+1}(u-1)\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m-1}(u)$ and $\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m+1}(u)\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m+1}(u-1)$ due to (4.5).

Now our solution is given by

Proposition 4.3. *The following solves the $C^{(1)}_n$ T-system.*

$$T^{(a)}_m(u + \frac{a+m-1}{2}) = (-1)^m \frac{\xi^{(a)}_m(u)}{\xi(u)} \qquad 1 \leq a \leq n-1, \tag{4.8}$$

$$T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m}{2})T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m-2}{2}) = \frac{\xi^{(n)}_{2m}(u)}{\xi(u)}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m}{2})T^{(n)}_{m+1}(u + \frac{n+2m}{2}) = \frac{\xi^{(n)}_{2m+1}(u)}{\xi(u+1)}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m}{2})^2 = \frac{\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m}(u)}{\xi(u)}, \tag{4.11}$$

which are equivalent, due to (4.6), to the alternative forms:

$$T^{(a)}_m(u + \frac{a+m-1}{2}) = (-1)^{a-\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\xi^{(N-a)}_m(u + a - \frac{N}{2})}{\xi(u)} \qquad 1 \leq a \leq n-1, \tag{4.12}$$

$$T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m}{2})T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m-2}{2}) = \frac{\xi^{(n+2)}_{2m}(u-1)}{\xi(u+1)}, \tag{4.13}$$

$$T^{(n)}_m(u + \frac{n+2m}{2})T^{(n)}_{m+1}(u + \frac{n+2m}{2}) = \frac{\xi^{(n+2)}_{2m+1}(u-1)}{\xi(u+1)}. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. First we are to show the consistency of (4.9), (4.13) and (4.11). Namely, evaluation of $(T^{(n)}_m(v)T^{(n)}_m(v-1))^2$ by (4.9) and (4.13) indeed coincides with the result by (4.11). To see this, we multiply (4.9) not with itself but with (4.13), leading to ($v = u + \frac{n+2m}{2}$)

$$(T^{(n)}_m(v)T^{(n)}_m(v-1))^2 = \frac{\xi^{(n)}_{2m}(u)\xi^{(n+2)}_{2m}(u-1)}{\xi(u)\xi(u-1)}.$$

On the other hand (4.11) says that LHS is equal to

$$\frac{\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m}(u)\xi^{(n+1)}_{2m}(u-1)}{\xi(u)\xi(u-1)}.$$

Thus they agree owing to the first relation in (4.7). Similarly, the consistency of (4.10), (4.14) and (4.11) is confirmed by means of the second relation in (4.7).

Now we proceed to the check of (4.1)–(4.4). Upon substituting (4.8), the difference of LHS and RHS of (4.1) vanishes due to (4.5). Similarly, one can verify (4.2) and (4.3) by using (4.9) and (4.10). As for the last relation (4.4), we first multiply $(T^{(n)}_m(u))^2$ and regroup the factors as

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(T^{(n)}_m(u)T^{(n)}_m(u-1)\right)\left(T^{(n)}_m(u+1)T^{(n)}_m(u)\right)\\&=\left(T^{(n)}_m(u)T^{(n)}_{m+1}(u)\right)\left(T^{(n)}_{m-1}(u)T^{(n)}_m(u)\right)+\left(T^{(n)}_m(u)\right)^2T^{(n-1)}_{2m}(u).\end{aligned}$$

Upon applying (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) to the first, the second and the third terms, respectively, the result again reduces to the Plücker relation (4.5). ▮

Combining (4.9), (4.10), (4.13) and (4.14), we also have the expression

$$T^{(n)}_m(u+\frac{n+2m}{2})=(-1)^m\prod_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\xi^{(n)}_{2j-1}(u+1)}{\xi^{(n)}_{2j-2}(u+1)}=\prod_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\xi^{(n+2)}_{2j-1}(u)}{\xi^{(n+2)}_{2j-2}(u)}.$$

This implies the square root of (4.11) is taken so that $T^{(n)}_m(u)=\prod_{j=1}^m Y_n(u+m+1-2j)+\cdots$.

Remark 4.4. The following Jacobi-Trudi type formula is also known as Theorem 3.1 in [KNH]:

$$\begin{aligned}T^{(a)}_m(u)&=\det_{1\le j,l\le m}\left(T^{(a-j+l)}_1(u+\frac{j+l-m-1}{2})\right)\quad 1\le a\le n-1,\\T^{(n)}_m(u)&=(-1)^m\mathrm{pf}_{1\le j,l\le 2m}\left(T^{(n+1-j+l)}_1(u+\frac{j+l-2m-1}{2})\right),\end{aligned}$$

where pf stands for the Pfaffian.

5 $B^{(1)}_n$ and $D^{(1)}_n$ cases

Here we present L operators having the same property as Proposition 2.3 for $B^{(1)}_n$ and $D^{(1)}_n$. However they are not polynomials in D. Essentially they are the generating series of the pole-free combinations in the analytic Bethe ansatz [KOS, TK].

For $B^{(1)}_n$ we set

$$\begin{aligned}&z_a(u)=\frac{Y_a(u+a)}{Y_{a-1}(u+a+1)},\quad z_{\bar a}(u)=\frac{Y_{a-1}(u+2n-a)}{Y_a(u+2n-a+1)}\quad 1\le a\le n-1,\\&z_n(u)=\frac{Y_n(u+\frac{2n+1}{2})Y_n(u+\frac{2n-1}{2})}{Y_{n-1}(u+n+1)},\quad z_{\bar n}(u)=\frac{Y_{n-1}(u+n)}{Y_n(u+\frac{2n+3}{2})Y_n(u+\frac{2n+1}{2})},\\&z_0(u)=\frac{Y_n(u+\frac{2n-1}{2})}{Y_n(u+\frac{2n+3}{2})},\end{aligned}$$

$$L(u) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (1 - z_{\bar{a}}(u)D^2) \cdot (1 + z_0(u)D^2)^{-1} \cdot \overleftarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (1 - z_a(u)D^2).$$

Let α_a $(1 \leq a \leq n)$ be the simple root of B_n normalized as $(\alpha_a|\alpha_a) = 2 - \delta_{an}$. We let S_a denote the screening operator for $B_n^{(1)}$ specified by (2.2) and (2.1).

Proposition 5.1. *$S_a \cdot L(u) = 0$ for all $1 \leq a \leq n$.*

Proof. For $a \neq n$ this can be directly checked as (2.11). For $a = n$, we are to show $S_n \cdot X(u + n - 2) = 0$, where

$$X(v) = \Big(1 - \frac{Y_{n-1}(v+2)}{Y_n(v+5/2)Y_n(v+7/2)} D^2\Big)\Big(1 + \frac{Y_n(v+3/2)}{Y_n(v+7/2)} D^2\Big)^{-1}\Big(1 - \frac{Y_n(v+3/2)Y_n(v+5/2)}{Y_{n-1}(v+3)} D^2\Big).$$

Expanding $X(v)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} X(v) &= 1 - f(v)D^2 + h(v)\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-1)^j k(v+2j)D^{2j+4}, \\ f(v) &= \frac{Y_n(v+3/2)}{Y_n(v+7/2)} + \frac{Y_{n-1}(v+2)}{Y_n(v+5/2)Y_n(v+7/2)} + \frac{Y_n(v+3/2)Y_n(v+5/2)}{Y_{n-1}(v+3)}, \\ k(v) &= \frac{1}{Y_n(v+11/2)} + \frac{Y_n(v+9/2)}{Y_{n-1}(v+5)}, \quad h(v) = Y_n(v+3/2) + \frac{Y_{n-1}(v+2)}{Y_n(v+5/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify $S_n \cdot f(v) = S_n \cdot k(v) = S_n \cdot h(v) = 0$. ▮

We introduce the expansion coefficients of $L(u)$ as

$$L(u) = 1 + \sum_{a \geq 1} (-1)^a T^a(u+a) D^{2a}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$L(u)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} T_m(u+m) D^{2m}. \tag{5.2}$$

Under the correspondence (2.1), they agree with those defined in eq.(2.7) in [KOS]. For $1 \leq a \leq n-1$, $T^a(u)$ essentially coincides with $s_a(z)$ in section 11.2 of [FR1], which may be viewed as the q-character of the a th fundamental representation of $U_q(B_n^{(1)})$. Note also that $L(u)Q_1(u) = 0$. As a corollary of Proposition 5.1, these coefficients are annihilated by all the screening operators S_a.

For $D_n^{(1)}$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} z_a(u) &= \frac{Y_a(u+a)}{Y_{a-1}(u+a+1)}, \quad z_{\bar{a}}(u) = \frac{Y_{a-1}(u+2n-a-1)}{Y_a(u+2n-a)} \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-2, \\ z_{n-1}(u) &= \frac{Y_n(u+n-1)Y_{n-1}(u+n-1)}{Y_{n-2}(u+n)}, \quad z_{\overline{n-1}}(u) = \frac{Y_{n-2}(u+n)}{Y_n(u+n+1)Y_{n-1}(u+n+1)}, \\ z_n(u) &= \frac{Y_n(u+n-1)}{Y_{n-1}(u+n+1)}, \quad z_{\bar{n}}(u) = \frac{Y_{n-1}(u+n-1)}{Y_n(u+n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$L(u) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (1 - z_{\bar{a}}(u)D^2) \cdot (1 - z_n(u) z_{\bar{n}}(u+2) D^4)^{-1} \cdot \overleftarrow{\prod_{a=1}^{n}} (1 - z_a(u)D^2). \qquad (5.3)$$

Let α_a $(1 \leq a \leq n)$ be the simple root of D_n normalized as $(\alpha_a|\alpha_a) = 2$. We let S_a denote the screening operator for $D^{(1)}_n$ specified by (2.2) and (2.1).

Proposition 5.2. *$S_a \cdot L(u) = 0$ for all $1 \leq a \leq n$.*

The proof is similar to Proposition 5.1. In particular, $S_n \cdot L(u) = 0$ is reduced to the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *Setting*

$$h_a(u) := Y_a(u) + \frac{Y_{n-2}(u+1)}{Y_a(u+2)}, \quad k_a(u) := Y_a(u)^{-1} + \frac{Y_a(u-2)}{Y_{n-2}(u-1)} \quad (a = n-1, n),$$

one has $S_n \cdot h_n(u) = S_n \cdot k_n(u) = 0$.

Lemma 5.4. *The Y_n-dependent factors in (5.3) can be expanded as*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(1 - \frac{Y_{n-2}(v+4)}{Y_{n-1}(v+5)Y_n(v+5)}D^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{Y_{n-1}(v+3)}{Y_n(v+5)}D^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{Y_n(v+3)}{Y_n(v+7)}D^4\right)^{-1} \\
&\left(1 - \frac{Y_n(v+3)}{Y_{n-1}(v+5)}D^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{Y_{n-1}(v+3)Y_n(v+3)}{Y_{n-2}(v+4)}D^2\right) \\
&= 1 - \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(k_{n-1}(v+4j+5)h_n(v+3) + (1-\delta_{j,0})k_n(v+4j+5)h_{n-1}(v+3)\right) D^{4j+2} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(k_{n-1}(v+4j+7)h_{n-1}(v+3) + k_n(v+4j+7)h_n(v+3) - \delta_{j,0}\frac{Y_{n-2}(v+4)}{Y_{n-2}(v+6)}\right) D^{4j+4},
\end{aligned}$$

where $v = u + n - 4$.

Defining $T^a(u)$ by (5.1) and (5.3), one finds, under the correspondence (2.1), that $T^a(u)$ coincides with $\mathcal{T}^a(u)$ in eq.(2.9) in [TK]. For $1 \leq a \leq n-2$, $T^a(u)$ essentially agrees with $s_a(z)$ in section 11.4 of [FR1], which may be viewed as the q-character of the a th fundamental representation of $U_q(D^{(1)}_n)$. Note also that $L(u)Q_1(u) = 0$. As a corollary of Proposition 5.2, $T^b(u)$ is annihilated by all the screening operators S_a.

A Proof of Proposition 2.4

We begin by grouping the summands in RHS of (2.16) as

$$\sum_{i \not\ni n+1, n+2} + \sum_{i \ni n+1, i \not\ni n+2} + \sum_{i \ni n+2, i \not\ni n+1} + \sum_{i \ni n+1, n+2} .$$

Due to (2.5), the 2nd and 3rd terms cancel each other. The summands in the first and the last terms can be expressed with $z_i(u)$'s by using (2.5) and

$$x_{n+1}(u)x_{n+2}(u-1) = -z_n(u)z_{\bar{n}}(u-1).$$

The result reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_i X_{u+\frac{a}{2}-1}(i_1,\ldots,i_a)\\&=\sum_i Z_{u+\frac{a}{2}-1}(i_1,\ldots,i_a)-\sum_{k=0}^{a-2}\sum_{i,j} Z_{u+\frac{a}{2}-1}(i_1,\ldots,i_k,n,\bar{n},j_1,\ldots,j_{a-2-k}).\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

Here the first sum is taken according to (2.12). $\sum_{i,j}$ in the second term extends over $i_1,\ldots,i_k,j_1,\ldots,j_{a-2-k}\in J$ such that $1\preceq i_1\prec\cdots\prec i_k\preceq n$ and $\bar{n}\preceq j_1\prec\cdots\prec j_{a-2-k}\preceq\bar{1}$. Note that the second term contains the summands with at most *two* n's and *two* $\bar{n}$'s in the i,j-arrays. So those patterns do not match a semistandard column tableau with respect to the order $\prec$. This will be the point that the remainder of the analysis concerns. From (A.1) and (2.7)–(2.8), Proposition 2.4 is reduced to ($v=u+\frac{a}{2}-1$)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=0}^{a-2}\sum_{i,j} Z_v(i_1,\ldots,i_k,n,\bar{n},j_1,\ldots,j_{a-2-k})\\&=\sum_{1\preceq i_1\prec\cdots\prec i_a\preceq\bar{1},\ \text{(2.8) is broken}} Z_v(i_1,\ldots,i_a).\end{aligned}\tag{A.2}$$

For $n=2$ or $0\le a\le 2$, it is straightforward to check (A.2). Thus we assume $n\ge 3$ and fix $3\le a\le n$ (and any $v\in\mathbb{C}$) from now on. We call the array $(i_1,\ldots,i_a)$ of elements $i_1,\ldots,i_a\in J$ a tableau. We do not a priori assume (2.7) and (2.8).

Lemma A.1.

$$Z_v(\ldots,b,\overbrace{\cdots}^{n-b+1},\bar{b},\ldots)=Z_v(\ldots,b-1,\overbrace{\cdots}^{n-b+1},\overline{b-1},\ldots)\quad 2\le b\le n$$

with no change for $\ldots$ *parts.*

Proof. For any u we have

$$z_b(u)z_{\bar{b}}(u-n+b-2)=z_{b-1}(u)z_{\overline{b-1}}(u-n+b-2)\quad 2\le b\le n.\tag{A.3}$$

▮

Actually (A.3) is valid also for $b=1$ if we interpret $z_0(u)=z_{\bar{0}}(u)=1$.

We introduce a map of tableaux:

$$\tau_b:\ (i_1,\ldots,i_a)\mapsto(i'_1,\ldots,i'_a)\quad 2\le b\le n,$$

where RHS is obtained from LHS by making the transformation $(\ldots , b, \overbrace{\cdots}^{n-b+1}, \overline{b}, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots , b-1, \overbrace{\cdots}^{n-b+1}, \overline{b-1}, \ldots)$ for all the $(b, \overline{b})$ pairs matching this configuration. When there is no such $(b, \overline{b})$ pair, we assume the action of τ_b is trivial, i.e., $(i'_{,} \ldots , i'_a) = (i_1, \ldots , i_a)$. Due to Lemma A.1, τ_b is Z_v-preserving.

Example A.2. We set $n = a = 9$. Omitting the parenthesis we have

$$\begin{aligned}
3\,5\,7\,9\,9\,\overline{9}\,\overline{8}\,\overline{7}\,\overline{3} &\xmapsto{\tau_9} 3\,5\,7\,8\,9\,\overline{8}\,\overline{8}\,\overline{7}\,\overline{3}\\
&\xmapsto{\tau_8} 3\,5\,7\,7\,9\,\overline{8}\,\overline{7}\,\overline{7}\,\overline{3}\\
&\xmapsto{\tau_7} 3\,5\,6\,6\,9\,\overline{8}\,\overline{6}\,\overline{6}\,\overline{3}\\
&\xmapsto{\tau_6} 3\,5\,5\,6\,9\,\overline{8}\,\overline{6}\,\overline{5}\,\overline{3}\\
&\xmapsto{\tau_5} 3\,4\,5\,6\,9\,\overline{8}\,\overline{6}\,\overline{4}\,\overline{3} \qquad \text{(A.4)}\\
&\xmapsto{\tau_3} 2\,4\,5\,6\,9\,\overline{8}\,\overline{6}\,\overline{4}\,\overline{2}. \qquad \text{(A.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

On all the tableaux τ_2 and τ_4 act trivially.

Set

$$V = \{(i_1 \prec \cdots \prec i_k \preceq n, \bar{n} \preceq j_1 \prec \cdots \prec j_{a-2-k}) \mid 0 \le k \le a-2\}, \tag{A.6}$$

$$W = \{(i_1 \prec \cdots \prec i_a) \mid (2.8) \text{ is broken}\}, \tag{A.7}$$

where $i_r, j_r \in J$ extend over all the possibilities so that V (resp. W) coincides with the range of the sum on LHS (resp. RHS) of (A.2). Since τ_b's are Z_v-preserving, Proposition 2.4 is reduced to constructing a bijection $\tau : V \longrightarrow W$ from their composition. This will be achieved in Proposition A.8 later.

For $2 \le b \le n$ and $l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ such that $|l-m| = 0, \pm 1, (l, m) \neq (0, 0)$, we introduce the subset $V_b^{l,m} \subset V$ defined by

$$V_b^{l,m} = \{(i_1 \prec \cdots \prec i_\alpha \prec \overbrace{b \cdots b}^{l} \prec j_1 \prec \cdots \prec j_\beta \prec \overbrace{\overline{b} \cdots \overline{b}}^{m} \prec k_1 \prec \cdots \prec k_\gamma) \mid \text{(A), (B), (C)}\}, \tag{A.8}$$

(A) $i_r, j_r, k_r \in J,\ \alpha, \beta, \gamma \ge 0,\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma + l + m = a,$ (A.9)

(B) if $j_r = d, j_s = \overline{d}$ for some $b < d \le n,$ then $n + r - s \ge d,$ (A.10)

(C) One of the following (C1)-(C4) holds: (A.11)

(C1): $l = m \ge 1,\ l + \beta = n - b + 1,$ i.e., $(\ldots , \overbrace{\underline{b \ldots b}\, b}^{l}, \ldots , \overbrace{\overline{b}\, \underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}}^{l}, \ldots),$

(C2): $l = m \ge 1,\ l + \beta = n - b + 2,$ i.e., $(\ldots , \overbrace{\underline{b \ldots b}}^{l}, \ldots , \overbrace{\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}}^{l}, \ldots),$

(C3): $l = m + 1 \ge 1,\ l + \beta = n - b + 2,$ i.e., $(\ldots , \overbrace{\underline{b \ldots b}\, b}^{l}, \ldots , \overbrace{\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}}^{l-1}, \ldots),$

(C4): $l = m - 1 \ge 0,\ l + \beta = n - b + 1,$ i.e., $(\ldots , \overbrace{\underline{b \ldots b}}^{l}, \ldots , \overbrace{\overline{b}\, \underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}}^{l+1}, \ldots),$

where the underlines indicate those b and $\overline{b}$ that are changed into $b-1$ and $\overline{b-1}$ under the action of τ_b for $b \geq 2$. In short, the condition (C) is selecting the tableaux of the form

$$(\ldots, \underline{b \ldots b}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}, \ldots), \tag{A.12}$$

where (b) and $(\overline{b})$ can be present or absent independently. The condition (B) says that (2.8) is satisfied for the segment $j_1 \prec \cdots \prec j_\beta$. In (A.8), the inequalities involving b or $\overline{b}$ should be imposed even when $l = 0$ or $m = 0$. We set

$$V_b = \bigsqcup_{l,m \geq 0,\ (l,m) \neq (0,0)} V_b^{l,m} \qquad 2 \leq b \leq n,$$

where we assume $V_b^{l,m} = \emptyset$ unless $|l-m| = 0, \pm 1$.

As an example, we have

$$V = V_n = V_n^{1,1} \sqcup V_n^{1,2} \sqcup V_n^{2,1} \sqcup V_n^{2,2}, \qquad V_n^{1,1} \subset W.$$

Given $3 \leq a \leq n$, only $V_n, V_{n-1}, \ldots, V_{n-a+2}$ are non-empty, and the last one reads

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{a^\sharp} &= V_{a^\sharp}^{1,1} \sqcup V_{a^\sharp}^{0,1} \sqcup V_{a^\sharp}^{1,0}, \qquad a^\sharp = n-a+2,\\
V_{a^\sharp}^{1,1} &= \{(a^\sharp \prec j_1 \prec \cdots \prec j_{a-2} \prec \overline{a^\sharp})\},\\
V_{a^\sharp}^{0,1} &= \{(j_1 \prec \cdots \prec j_{a-1} \prec \overline{a^\sharp})\} \quad (a^\sharp \prec j_1),\\
V_{a^\sharp}^{1,0} &= \{(a^\sharp \prec j_1 \prec \cdots \prec j_{a-1})\} \quad (j_{a-1} \prec \overline{a^\sharp}),
\end{aligned}$$

where j_r's obey the condition (B). It is easy to see

$$\tau_{a^\sharp}(t) = t \quad \text{for any} \quad t \in V_{a^\sharp}. \tag{A.13}$$

Note that $a^\sharp \geq 2$.

Lemma A.3. *If $t \in V_b$, then $\tau_b(t) = t$ or $\tau_b(t) \in V_{b-1}$ for $3 \leq b \leq n$.*

Proof. We set $c = b-1$. As in (A.12), an element $t \in V_b$ has the form $t = (\ldots, d, \underline{b \ldots b}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}, e, \ldots)$, where $d \prec b, \overline{b} \prec e$. Suppose $\tau_b(t) \neq t$. We classify the nontrivial action of τ_b into four cases; (D1) $d = c, e = \overline{c}$, (D2) $d = c, e \succ \overline{c}$, (D3) $d \prec c, e = \overline{c}$ and (D4) $d \prec c, e \succ \overline{c}$. In each case, the action $t \to \tau_b(t)$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{(D1): } (\ldots, c, \underline{b \ldots b}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}\, \overline{c}, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots, \underline{c\, c \ldots c}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{c} \ldots \overline{c}\, \overline{c}}, \ldots),\\
&\text{(D2): } (\ldots, c, \underline{b \ldots b}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots, \underline{c\, c \ldots c}\, c(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{c} \ldots \overline{c}}, \ldots),\\
&\text{(D3): } (\ldots, \underline{b \ldots b}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}\, \overline{c}, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots, \underline{c \ldots c}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\overline{c}\, \underline{\overline{c} \ldots \overline{c}\, \overline{c}}, \ldots),\\
&\text{(D4): } (\ldots, \underline{b \ldots b}(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\underline{\overline{b} \ldots \overline{b}}, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots, \underline{c \ldots c}\, c(b), \ldots, (\overline{b})\overline{c}\, \underline{\overline{c} \ldots \overline{c}}, \ldots).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the underlines on RHS (resp. LHS) designate those $c, \overline{c}$ (resp. $b, \overline{b}$) changed under τ_c (resp. τ_b). Comparing (A.12) with RHS's of (D1)-(D4), we see that

$\tau_b(t)$ satisfies the condition (C) (A.11) for V_c. The condition (A) is clear. The condition (B) is nontrivial only for the pair $(b, \overline{b})$ when (b) and $(\overline{b})$ are both present. In such cases the number β of the letters between (b) and $(\overline{b})$ in (D1)-(D4) is bounded by $\beta \leq n - b - 1$. Thus $n - \beta \geq b + 1 > b$, showing that (B) is valid. ▮

Given $t = t_n \in V = V_n$, let $t_d = \tau_{d+1} \cdots \tau_n(t)$. From (A.13) and Lemma A.3, we deduce

Lemma A.4. *There exists a unique* p *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &a^\sharp \leq p \leq n, \\ &t_p \neq t_{p+1} \neq \cdots \neq t_n, \quad t_d \in V_d \ \text{ for } \ p \leq d \leq n, \\ &t_p = t_{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.5. $t_p \in W$.

Proof. In (D1)-(D4), the length of the underlines on the RHS are shorter than those on LHS only for (D4). Therefore the situation

$$t_{p+1} \xmapsto{\tau_{p+1}} t_p \xmapsto{\tau_p} t_{p-1} = t_p$$

means that the map τ_{p+1} underwent the pattern (D4) with length one underlines in its LHS. Namely we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\ldots, d, \ \underline{p+1} \ \overbrace{(p+1), \ldots, (\overline{p+1})}^{n-p} \ \underline{\overline{p+1}}, \ e, \ldots) = t_{p+1} \in V_{p+1} \\ &\xmapsto{\tau_{p+1}} (\ldots, d, \ p \ \overbrace{(p+1), \ldots, (\overline{p+1})}^{n-p} \ \overline{p}, \ e, \ldots) = t_p \in V_p, \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

where $d \preceq p - 1$ and $\overline{p-1} \preceq e$. Let us check that RHS belongs to W. (Irrespectively of the presence or absence of $(p+1)$ and $(\overline{p+1})$, (A.14) says that there are always $n - p$ letters between p and $\overline{p}$.) First, there is no repetition of the same letter in the tableau t_p because of the definition of V_p. Second, the $(p, \overline{p})$ pair in the center certainly breaks the condition (2.8). ▮

Remark A.6. By the definition (A.7), any tableau in W contains a pair $(q, \overline{q})$ breaking (2.8). Let us call such a pair with the largest value of $1 \leq q \leq n$ the *maximal breaking* pair. (Actually a pair $(1, \overline{1})$ can never break (2.8).) In the end of the proof of Lemma A.5, we have also established the following: the maximal breaking pair of t_p is $(p, \overline{p})$.

Lemma A.7. *Suppose that in the tableau*

$$(\ldots, q, \overbrace{\cdots}^{\gamma}, \overline{q}, \ldots) \in W,$$

the pair $(q, \overline{q})$ *is the maximal breaking one. Then we have* $\gamma = n - q$.

Proof. Since $(q,\overline{q})$ breaks (2.8), we know $q \geq n-\gamma$. Assume that $q > n-\gamma$, hence $\sharp\{q+1, q+2, \ldots, n\} = n-q < \gamma$. Then there is at least one pair $(r,\overline{r})$ with $q < r \leq n$ between q and $\overline{q}$, hence the tableau looks as

$$(\ldots, q, \overbrace{\cdots}^{\alpha}, r, \overbrace{\cdots}^{\delta}, \overline{r}, \overbrace{\cdots}^{\beta} \overline{q}, \ldots), \quad \gamma = \alpha+\beta+\delta+2.$$

By the definition, the pair $(r,\overline{r})$ must satisfy the condition (2.8), meaning $r < n-\delta$. When there are more than one such r, we take the smallest one among those, which implies $\alpha+\beta \leq r-q-1$. Now these relations lead to the contradiction:

$$0 < q-n+\gamma = q-n+\alpha+\beta+\delta+2 \leq -n+\delta+r+1 \leq 0.$$

▮

Note the consistency of (A.14), Remark A.6 and Lemma A.7.

By virtue of Lemma A.4 and Lemma A.5, we are entitled to define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : V &\longrightarrow W \\ t &\longmapsto t_p = \tau_{p+1}\tau_{p+2}\cdots\tau_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where p is specified in Lemma A.4. In Example A.2, LHS is an element of V. When calculating its image under τ, one has $p=4$, and the answer is (A.4) and not (A.5). Observe that $(4,\overline{4})$ is certainly the maximal breaking pair in (A.4) containing $n-4=5$ letters in between.

Proposition A.8. *The map $\tau : V \longrightarrow W$ is a bijection.*

Proof. We have only to construct the inverse of τ. For $3 \leq b \leq n$ we define the map $\sigma_b : (i_1, \ldots, i_a) \mapsto (i'_1, \ldots, i'_a)$ by making the transformation $(\ldots, b-1, \overbrace{\cdots}^{n-b+1}, \overline{b-1}, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots, b, \overbrace{\cdots}^{n-b+1}, \overline{b}, \ldots)$ for all the $(b-1, \overline{b-1})$ pairs matching this configuration. Given any tableau $s \in W$, we set $\sigma(s) = \sigma_n \cdots \sigma_{p+2}\sigma_{p+1}(s)$, where p is determined from the condition that $(p,\overline{p})$ is the maximal breaking pair of s. By construction it is then evident that $\sigma(\tau(t)) = t$, $\tau(\sigma(s)) = s$ for any $t \in V$ and $s \in W$. ▮

B Basic lemmas

We keep the notation (2.20) but do not assume (2.19) and (2.21) in Lemma B.1. Set

$$\tilde{x}_m(u) = \frac{[0,\ldots,m-1][2,\ldots,m]}{[1,\ldots,m][1,\ldots,m-1]} \quad 1 \leq m \leq N. \tag{B.1}$$

We define $\tilde{e}_a(u)$ by (2.15) by replacing $x_m(u)$ with $\tilde{x}_m(u)$. In particular, $\tilde{e}_a(u) = 0$ if $a > N$ or $a < 0$.

Lemma B.1 ([NNSY]). *Given the integers* $0 = i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{N-1}$*, let* $\mu = (\mu_j)$ *be the Young diagram with depth less than* N *specified by* $\mu_j = i_{N-j} + j - N$*. Let* $\mu' = (\mu'_j)$ *denote the transpose of* μ*. Assume* $m \geq i_{N-1} - N + 1$*. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[0, i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{N-1}]}{[m, \ldots, m+N-1]} &= \sum_t \prod_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(m^N)/\mu} \tilde{x}_{t(\alpha,\beta)}(u+\alpha+\beta-2) \\ &= \det_{1\leq j,l\leq m}\left(\tilde{e}_{N-\mu'_j-l+j}\left(u + \frac{N-2+j+l-\mu'_j}{2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the sum $\sum_t$ *extends over the semistandard tableaux on the skew Young diagram* $(m^N)/\mu$ *[M1] on letters* $\{1, \ldots, N\}$*.* $t(\alpha, \beta)$ *denotes the entry of* t *at the* α*th row and the* β*th column from the bottom left corner.*

The lemma is related to the ninth variation of the Schur function [M2], and applicable to q-characters for $U_q(A^{(1)}_{N-1})$. It is actually valid for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. To approach the $U_q(C^{(1)}_n)$ case in question, we next take the constraints (2.19) and (2.21) into account. We introduce the difference operators $L_j(u)$ $(1 \leq j \leq N)$ by

$$L_j(u) = \overrightarrow{\prod_{i=N+1-j}^{N}} (D - \epsilon_i x_i(u+n+1-i)), \tag{B.2}$$

where $\epsilon_i = 1$ except $\epsilon_{n+1} = \epsilon_{n+2} = -1$. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.9) we have $L(u) = L_N(u)$. Take the basis $\{w_1(u), \ldots, w_N(u)\}$ of the solutions to (2.19) such that

$$L_j(u) w_m(u) = 0 \quad 1 \leq m \leq j \leq N. \tag{B.3}$$

Lemma B.2. *Under the above choice of the basis, we have* $\tilde{x}_m(u) = x_m(u)$*, where the latter is defined in (2.4)–(2.5).*

Proof. By calculating (B.2) directly, one gets $(1 \leq j \leq N-1)$

$$L_j(u) = D^j + (-1)^j \sigma'_j \frac{q_j(u+1)}{q_j(u)} + \text{ terms proportional to } D, \ldots, D^{j-1},$$

$$\tag{B.4}$$

$$\sigma'_j = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq j \leq n+1 \\ -1 & n+2 \leq j \leq N-1, \end{cases}$$

$$q_j(u) = \begin{cases} Q_j(u + \frac{j-1}{2}) & 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ Q_n(u+\frac{n}{2})Q_n(u+\frac{n-2}{2}) & j = n \\ Q_n(u+\frac{n}{2})^2 & j = n+1 \\ Q_n(u+\frac{n}{2})Q_n(u+\frac{n+2}{2}) & j = n+2 \\ Q_{N-j}(u+\frac{j-1}{2}) & n+3 \leq j \leq N-1. \end{cases}$$

Let $[0,\dots,j-1]$ be the Casorati determinant of $w_m(u)$'s as defined in (2.20). Due to (B.3) and (B.4) it satisfies the first order linear difference equation

$$\left(D - \sigma'_j \frac{q_j(u+1)}{q_j(u)}\right)[0,\dots,j-1] = 0 \quad 1 \le j \le N-1.$$

Thus we may set

$$[0,\dots,j-1] = \phi_j(u) q_j(u), \tag{B.5}$$

where $\phi_j(u)$ is any function satisfying $\phi_j(u+1) = \sigma'_j \phi_j(u)$. Substituting (B.5) into (B.1) one finds $\tilde{x}_m(u) = x_m(u)$. ▮

Due to Lemma B.2, we may set $\tilde{e}_a(u) = e_a(u)$. By combining Proposition 2.4, (2.14) and (2.17), this can be further identified with $T_1^{(a)}(u)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting this back to Lemma B.1 and using (2.17), we obtain

Proposition B.3. *Let $i_0,\dots,i_{N-1},\mu$ and μ' be as in Lemma B.1. Assume further that $w_1,\dots,w_N$ satisfy (B.3). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[0,i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_{N-1}]}{[0,\dots,N-1]} &= (-1)^{\mu_1}\sum_t \prod_{(\alpha,\beta)\in(\mu_1^N)/\mu} x_{t(\alpha,\beta)}(u+\alpha+\beta-2)\\ &= \det_{1\le j,l\le\mu_1}\left(T_1^{(\mu'_j-j+l)}\left(u+\frac{N-2+j+l-\mu'_j}{2}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the sum $\sum_t$ extends over the semistandard tableaux on the skew Young diagram $(\mu_1^N)/\mu$. $t(\alpha,\beta)$ is the entry of t at the αth row and the βth column from the bottom left corner of the skew Young diagram $(\mu_1^N)/\mu$.

Acknowledgements

A.K., M.O. and Y.Y. have been supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan. The work of J.S. has been supported by a Grand-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists from the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science, ♯12740244.

References

[B] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, London (1982).

[BHK] V. V. Bazhanov, A. N. Hibberd and S. M. Khoroshkin, *Integrable structure of W_3 conformal field theory, quantum Boussinesq theory and boundary affine toda theory*, hep-th/0105177.

[CK] V. Chari and M. Kleber, *Symmetric functions and representations of quantum affine algebras*, math.QA/0011161.

[CP1] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge (1994).

[CP2] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, Canadian Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. **16** (1995) 59–78.

[DDT] P. Dorey, C. Dunning and R. Tateo, *Differential equations for general SU(n) Bethe ansatz system*, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. **33** (2000) 8427-8442.

[FM] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *Combinatorics of q-characters of finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, math.QA/9911112.

[FR1] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *Quantum affine algebras and deformations of the Virasoro and $\mathcal{W}$-algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **178** (1996) 237–264.

[FR2] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin, *The q-characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras*, Contemporary Math. **248** (1999) 163–205.

[FRS] E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin and M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction for difference operators and deformations of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. I. The case of Virasoro algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998) 605–629.

[KR] A. N. Kirillov and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Representations of Yangians and multiplicity of occurrence of the irreducible components of the tensor product of representations of simple Lie algebras*, J. Sov. Math. **52** (1990) 3156–3164.

[Ka] M. Kashiwara, *On level zero representations of quantized affine algebras*, math.QA/0010293.

[Kn] H. Knight, *Spectra of tensor products of finite-dimensional representations of Yangians*, J. Algebra **174** (1995) 187–196.

[KLWZ] I. Krichever, O. Lipan, P. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, *Quantum integrable models and discrete classical Hirota equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **188** (1997) 267–304.

[KNH] A. Kuniba, S. Nakamura and R. Hirota, *Pfaffian and determinant solutions to a Discretized Toda equation for B_r, C_r and D_r*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 1759–1766.

[KNS] A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi and J. Suzuki, *Functional relations in solvable lattice models: I. Functional relations and representation theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9** (1994) 5215–5266.

[KOS] A. Kuniba, Y. Ohta and J. Suzuki, *Quantum Jacobi-Trudi and Giambelli formulae for $U_q(B_r^{(1)})$ from the analytic Bethe ansatz*, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. **28** (1995) 6211–6226.

[KS] A. Kuniba and J. Suzuki, *Analytic Bethe ansatz for fundamental representations of Yangians*, Comm. Math. Phys. **173** (1995) 225–264.

[M1] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd ed. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (1995).

[M2] I. G. Macdonald, *Schur functions: Theme and variations*, Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, Acte 28^e, Sèminaire Lotharingien, (1992) 5–39.

[NNSY] J. Nakagawa, M. Noumi, M. Shirakawa and Y. Yamada, *Tableau representation for Macdonald's ninth variation of Schur functions*, preprint.

[R] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *The spectrum of the transfer matrices connected with Kac-Moody algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **14** (1987) 235–246.

[SS] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky and A. V. Sevostyanov, *Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction for difference operators and deformations of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. II. The general semisimple case*, Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998) 631–647.

[S1] J. Suzuki, *Functional relations in Stokes multipliers and solvable models related to $U_q(A_n^{(1)})$*, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen.**33** (2000) 3507-3521.

[S2] J. Suzuki, *Stokes Multipliers, Spectral Determinants and $T-Q$ relations*, preprint.

[TK] Z. Tsuboi and A. Kuniba, *Solutions of a discretized Toda field equation for D_r from analytic Bethe ansatz*, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 7785–7796.